

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,211	01/15/2002	Kilian Peetz	GK-GRA-103 / 500704.20003	4397	
26418	7590 05/25/2005		EXAM	INER	
REED SMITH, LLP ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT			FULLER	FULLER, ERIC B	
599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10022-7650		1762		

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/053,211	PEETZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric B. Fuller	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 i</u>	February 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2,3 and 7-15 is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3 and 7-15</u> is/are rejected.		· ·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 60 0.0.0.	3 115(d) (d) 61 (i).			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		Application No.			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri		··			
application from the International Burea	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) LI Interview : Paper Not	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
<ul> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	_ [-]	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)



### Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments have overcome the rejections based on 35 USC 112. These rejections have been withdrawn accordingly. Applicant's amendments fail to overcome the prior art of the previous Office Action, as shown below.

Applicant argues that Huttinger fails to teach a temperature of greater than 1100 degrees Celsius. This argument is not found convincing. The claims read greater than or equal to 1100 degrees Celsius. As shown in the previous Office Action, Huttinger explicitly teaches a temperature of 1100 degrees Celsius.

Applicant argues that the preconditioning step in Huttinger fails to read on any pre-reaction of the gas, as it is only used to focus the gas. This argument is not found convincing. The claims do not require the gas to be reacted in the preconditioning step. The claims only read that the gas is preconditioned. Focusing the gas into a stream before it hits the substrate reads on preconditioning.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,211

Art Unit: 1762

Claims 2-3, 7-9, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hüttinger et al. (WO 98/21163).

Hüttinger teaches a CVI process for depositing SiC into a preform (abstract). MTS as the precursor in a hydrogen carrier gas is taught on page 7, lines 6-30. The carrier gas is taught to be within the applicant's range (page 15, lines 15-29). The reference discloses pressures within the applicants range (Embodiment 3 and 8). The porosity is within the applicant's range (figure 5; page 5, lines 30-34). Figure 4 teaches the preconditioning step. The product of the reference reads on claims 13 and 14. The examples teach a process temperature of 1,100.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hüttinger et al. (WO 98/21163), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Murphy et al. (US 4,407,885).

Hüttinger teaches the limitations of claim 1, as shown above, but is silent in teaching how the preform is made. However, Murphy teaches a method of forming preforms that read on the applicant's method (column 13, lines 18-50). It would have

Art Unit: 1762

been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the method taught by Murphy to construct the preforms in the process taught by Hüttinger. By doing so, one would have a reasonable expectation of success, as Hüttinger is silent to how the preform is produced and Murphy teaches an art recognized suitable process for producing a preform.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B. Fuller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays.

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks, can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**EBF** 

TIMOTHY MEEKS SUPERVIBORY PATENT EXAMINER